DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

DoD 23.4 Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)
Annual Broad Agency Announcement (BAA)
Component-Specific Proposal Instructions
Release 15

June 21, 2023: Topics issued for pre-release
July 6, 2023: Army begins accepting proposals via DSIP
July 25, 2023: DSIP Topic Q&A closes to new questions at 12:00 p.m. ET
August 8, 2023: Deadline for receipt of proposals no later than 12:00 p.m. ET

INTRODUCTION

The future Army must be capable of conducting Multi-Domain Operations (MDO) as part of an integrated Joint Force across an array of situations in multiple theaters by 2035. The MDO concept describes how the Army will support the Joint Force in the rapid and continuous integration of all domains of warfare – land, sea, air, and cyberspace – to deter and prevail as we compete short of conflict, and fight and win if deterrence fail. The Army must provide game-changing capabilities to our Soldiers. To capitalize on small business innovation, the Army has implemented an approach to advertise SBIR funding opportunities through the Department of Defense (DoD) Annual BAA process, outside of the three pre-determined BAA cycles. This approach also strives to create a more rapid award time from solicitation to closing.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Direct specific questions pertaining to the administration of the Department of the Army SBIR Program and proposal preparation instructions to the Point of Contact identified in the Topic announcement. General questions can be directed to the following:

Email: usarmy.pentagon.hqda-asa-alt.mbx.army-applied-sbir-program@army.mil

Website: https://www.armysbir.army.mil/

Mailing Address:

Army Applied SBIR Office 2530 Crystal Dr.; Ste 11192

Arlington, VA 22202

<u>Proposers are encouraged to thoroughly review the DoD Program BAA and register for the DSIP Listserv to remain apprised of important programmatic and contractual changes.</u>

- The DoD Program BAA is located at: https://www.defensesbirsttr.mil/SBIR-STTR/Opportunities/#announcements. Be sure to select the tab for the appropriate BAA cycle.
- Register for the DSIP Listserv at: https://www.dodsbirsttr.mil/submissions/login.

RESPONSIVENESS AND TIMELINESS

All proposals will be evaluated and judged on a competitive basis. Proposals will only be evaluated in response to an active, corresponding Army topic. Proposals will be initially screened to determine responsiveness and timeliness. Proposals passing this initial screening will be technically evaluated by engineers or scientists to determine the most promising technical and scientific approaches. Assessment of responsiveness may continue during technical evaluation and after selection. If at any point the proposal is deemed untimely, unresponsive, ineligible, or non-responsible, the proposal will be rejected / the contract action will be cancelled.

Interested firms shall follow the DoD Program BAA instructions as well as the Army's component-specific proposal instructions herein, when preparing and submitting proposals. The DoD 23.4 SBIR Program BAA can be found here: https://www.defensesbirsttr.mil/SBIR-STTR/Opportunities/.

The Government reserves the right to disqualify proposals for failing to meet any of the requirements of the SBA SBIR/STTR Policy Directive, the DoD Program BAA instructions, the Army's component-specific proposal instructions herein, and/or in the topic itself. The following include, but are not limited to, the common reasons for which proposals are disqualified:

System for Award Management is not properly updated at time of proposal submission.

The proposal is missing required number of signatures and/or content.

Minimum Performance Percentage of Work is not allocated properly.

Work as proposed does not meet the definition of Research and Development required for funding.

Proposal submitted beyond deadline.

Price exceeds the maximum funding amount.

Firm is NOT an eligible small business.

Firm does NOT meet the ownership and control requirements.

Firm is 50% or more owned or managed by a corporate entity that is not a small business.

Firm will NOT perform the prescribed percentage of the research and/or analytical work.

Primary employment of the Principal Investigator for this project is NOT with the firm.

Firm has been convicted of a fraud-related crime.

Principal Investigator or Corporate Official has been convicted of a fraud-related crime.

Firm and affiliates have employed, on average over the last 24 months, more than 500 employees.

Firm has been awarded a contract from the US Government for essentially equivalent work.

Claiming data rights assertions without including a Data Rights Assertions Table.

Lack of proper documentation for research utilizing human/animal subjects or recombinant DNA.

Lack of information or negative information concerning use of foreign nationals.

Offeror requests to award to a different firm/entity after proposal submission.

Failure or refusal to submit certified or other than certified cost data in accordance with DFARS 252.215-7010.

Proposal is for a topic other than that which is identified.

Etc.

SYSTEM FOR AWARD MANAGEMENT (SAM)

Interested firms are required to be registered in SAM (www.sam.gov) before submitting a proposal and shall continue to be registered until time of award, during performance, and through final payment of any contract. The proper North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code and Product and Service Code are as follows:

NAICS: 541715, Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences (except Nanotechnology and Biotechnology)

PSC: AC12, National Defense R&D Services; Department of Defense - Military; Applied Research

PHASE I PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Defense SBIR/STTR Innovation Portal (DSIP) is the official portal for DoD SBIR/STTR proposal submission. Proposers (also referred to herein as "offeror(s)") are required to submit proposals via DSIP; proposals submitted by any other means will be disregarded. Detailed instructions regarding registration and proposal submission via DSIP are provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

Proposal Coversheet (Volume 1)

The proposal coversheet must follow the instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

The offeror shall certify that to the best of its knowledge and belief, its eligibility information under the SBIR Program is accurate, complete, and current as of the date of the offer.

Technical Volume (Volume 2)

The technical volume proposal is not to exceed 5 word document pages and must follow the formatting requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA. A commercialization plan must also accompany the technical volume proposal and must be 8 slides. The required content to include within these slides are described in Appendix D. The commercialization plan must be converted from slides to pdf and attached to the end of the technical volume proposal, resulting in one pdf file to be uploaded to DSIP as Volume 2. The commercialization plan does not count towards the proposal 5-page limit. Any proposals submitted without a commercialization plan or in a format other than that provided in these Component Instructions and by the BAA will be deemed unresponsive and will not reviewed.

Content of the Technical Volume

The Technical Volume shall contain three key sections – technical approach, team qualifications and commercialization section. The technical approach section shall contain details on how the proposer is going to solve the problem. It shall detail key elements of the firm's approach, any risks, relevant past work and how success is measured. The team qualifications section shall highlight the key personnel working on the project, and the resources that will be brought to bear on solving the problem.

The commercialization plan shall include:

<u>Company information</u>: Focused objectives/core competencies; specialization area(s); products with significant sales; and history of previous Federal and non-Federal funding, regulatory experience, and subsequent commercialization successes.

<u>Customer and Competition</u>: Clear description of key technology objectives, current competition, and advantages compared to competing products or services; description of hurdles to acceptance of the innovation.

<u>Market</u>: Milestones, target dates, analyses of market size, and estimated market share after first year sales and after 5 years; explanation of plan to obtain market share.

<u>Intellectual Property</u>: Patent status, technology lead, trade secrets or other demonstration of a plan to achieve sufficient protection to realize the commercialization stage and attain at least a temporal competitive advantage.

Financing: Plans for securing necessary non-SBIR funding.

<u>Assistance and mentoring</u>: Plans for securing needed technical or business assistance through mentoring, partnering, or through arrangements with government sponsored (e.g., State assistance programs, Federally-funded research laboratories, Manufacturing Extension Partnership centers), not-for-profits (e.g., SBDC), commercial accelerators, DOD Prime Contractors, or other assistance provider.

These instructions supersede those stated in section 5.3.c of the DoD Program BAA.

Cost Volume (Volume 3)

The Cost Volume must follow all instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA. Supplemental requirements are as follows:

The Phase I Base amount must not exceed \$250,000 for a 6-month period of performance, unless otherwise specified in the topic description pages. Phase I Options are not anticipated at this time. If an option is identified in the topic posting, costs for the Base and Option must be separated and clearly identified on the Proposal Cover Sheet (Volume 1) and in Volume 3. Awards for these topics will be in the form of a firm fixed price contract.

Please review the updated Percentage of Work (POW) calculation details included in section 5.3 of the DoD Program BAA. Army Applied SBIR will occasionally accept deviations from the POW requirements with written approval from the Funding Agreement officer.

For pricing purposes, offerors shall assume a contract or agreement start date of approximately ninety (90) days after submission of the proposal. For this BAA, adequate price competition (APC), as defined in FAR 15.403-1(c), is anticipated. In the event that adequate price competition is not realized (i.e. only one proposal is received for a given topic), the Government may choose to conduct additional proposal analysis, in accordance with the techniques identified at FAR 15.404-1. Additionally, offerors are to provide any current Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRA) in effect at time of proposal submission.

Content of the Cost Volume (Volume 3)

ALL proposed costs should be accompanied by documentation to substantiate how the cost was derived. Substantiating documentation guidance is as follows:

LABOR:

List all key personnel by name as well as by number of hours dedicated to the project as direct labor.

Explain the basis of proposed labor hours, including required tasks, and substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. payroll reports). Volume 5, Supporting Documents, may be used if additional space is needed.

MATERIAL/TOOLING/EQUIPMENT:

Explain the basis of proposed material and equipment costs. This support should include a consolidated priced summary of individual material and equipment quantities and substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. vendor quotes, invoice prices, competitive bids, etc.). If your choice isn't the lowest cost available, explain the decision to choose one item or supplier over another. Volume 5, Supporting Documents, may be used if additional space is needed.

Ensure all materials are American-made to the maximum extent practicable. Offerors who propose to use a foreign-made product in its technology may be required to find an American-made equivalent.

While special tooling and test equipment and material cost may be included, it will be carefully reviewed relative to need and appropriateness for the work proposed. The purchase of special tooling and test equipment must, in the opinion of the Component Contracting Officer, be advantageous to the Government and should be related directly to the specific topic. These may include such items as innovative instrumentation or automatic test equipment. Title to property furnished by the Government or acquired with Government funds will be vested with the DoD Component, unless it is determined that transfer of title to the contractor would be more cost effective than recovery of the equipment by the DoD Component.

TRAVEL:

Explain the basis of proposed travel, including to/from locations, number of trips, number of travelers per trip, and number of days/nights per trip. Include substantiating documentation for the costs (e.g. screenshots of flight cost comparison, rental car quotes, etc.). NOTE: Virtual meetings shall be utilized to the maximum extent practicable. Volume 5, Supporting Documents, may be used if additional space is needed.

SUBCONTRACTS: A subcontract is any agreement, other than one involving an employer-employee relationship, entered into by the prime contractor (awardee) calling for supplies or services for the performance of the contract.

All subcontractor costs and consultant costs must be detailed at the same level as prime contractor costs in regard to labor, travel, equipment, etc.

Explain the basis of proposed subcontract costs. Include documented support of the offeror's price analyses and degree of competition of all subcontractor proposals. All subcontractor costs and consultant costs, such as labor, travel, equipment, materials, must be detailed at the same level as prime contractor costs. Provide detailed substantiation of subcontractor costs in your cost proposal. Volume 5, Supporting Documents, may be used if additional space is needed.

Certify that the following requirements are met: For Phase I, the offeror must perform a minimum of two-thirds of the research and/or analytical effort. One third may be subcontracted to another firm or research organization/facility. The percentage of work is measured by both direct and indirect costs.

Offerors shall not propose to subcontract to the issuing agency, to any other Federal Government agency, or to other units of the Federal Government, except Federal Laboratories in rare circumstances. As defined in 15 U.S.C. 3703, Federal Laboratory means any laboratory, any federally funded research and development center, or any center established under 15 U.S.C. 3705 and 3707 that is owned, leased, or otherwise used by a Federal Agency and funded by the Federal Government, whether operated by the Government or by a contractor.

Offerors shall not propose to subcontract to any prohibited sources. Proposals identifying a subcontractor/vendor arrangement with a prohibited source may be rejected.

Offerors shall ensure subcontracting arrangements are with United States Small Businesses to the maximum extent practicable. Offerors proposing a subcontractor arrangement with other than a United States Small Business (such as, a large business, foreign firm, foreign government, educational institution, unit of Federal Government, etc.) may be required to submit further explanation.

INDIRECT COSTS:

Explain the basis of the proposed indirect expense rates including overhead, general and administrative, material handling, and fringe benefits.

If a Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) Audit has been conducted within the last five (5) years, include the audit compliance documentation in the cost proposal documents. The documentation should also include the offeror's DCAA Point of Contact (if applicable).

If selected, failure to include the documentation with your proposal may delay contract award, as the proposer will be asked to submit the necessary documentation to the Contracting Officer to substantiate costs. It is important to respond as quickly as possible to the Contracting Officer's request for documentation. Failure or refusal to provide documentation may result in cancellation of the contract action.

Company Commercialization Report (CCR) (Volume 4)

Completion of the CCR as Volume 4 of the proposal submission in DSIP is required. Please refer to the DoD SBIR Program BAA for full details on this requirement. Information contained in the CCR will be considered by the Department of the Army during proposal evaluations.

Supporting Documents (Volume 5)

All proposing small business concerns are REQUIRED to submit the following documents to Volume 5:

- 1. Contractor Certification Regarding Provision of Prohibition on Contracting for Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment
- 2. Disclosures of Foreign Affiliations or Relationships to Foreign Countries
- 3. Disclosure of Funding Sources

Please refer to the DoD Program BAA for more information.

Volume 5 is provided for proposers to submit additional documentation to support the Cover Sheet (Volume 1), Technical Volume (Volume 2), and the Cost Volume (Volume 3). In addition to the Volume 5 requirements outlined in the DoD Program BAA, the Department of the Army may accept the following documents in Volume 5:

- Additional Cost Information
- o Funding Agreement Certification
- o Technical Data Rights (Assertions)
- o Lifecycle Certification
- o Allocation of Rights
- Other (only as specified in the topic)

Please only submit documents that are identified immediately above and in the DoD Program BAA. All other documents submitted will be disregarded.

DIRECT TO PHASE II PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Defense SBIR/STTR Innovation Portal (DSIP) is the official portal for DoD SBIR/STTR proposal submission. Proposers (also referred to herein as "offeror(s)") are required to submit proposals via DSIP; proposals submitted by any other means will be disregarded. Detailed instructions regarding registration and proposal submission via DSIP are provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

Proposers interested in submitting a DP2 proposal in response to these topics must provide documentation to substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described in the Phase I section of the topic has been met and describes the potential commercial applications. Documentation should include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports, test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results. Work submitted within the feasibility documentation must have been substantially performed by the proposer and/or the Principal Investigator.

The Army will not evaluate the proposer's related Phase II proposal if it determines that the proposer has failed to demonstrate that technical merit and feasibility has been established or the proposer has failed to demonstrate that work submitted in the feasibility documentation was substantially performed by the proposer and/or the PI.

Feasibility documentation cannot be based upon any prior or ongoing federally funded SBIR or STTR work and DP2 proposals MUST NOT logically extend from any prior or ongoing federally funded SBIR or STTR work.

Proposal Coversheet (Volume 1)

The proposal coversheet must follow the instructions and requirements provided in the DoD SBIR Program BAA.

The offeror shall certify that to the best of its knowledge and belief, its eligibility information under the SBIR Program is accurate, complete, and current as of the date of the offer.

Format of Technical Volume (Volume 2)

The Technical Volume must include two parts, the Feasibility Documentation, and the Technical Proposal.

The Technical Volume must be a single Portable Document Format (PDF) file, including graphics. Perform a virus check before uploading the Technical Volume file. If a virus is detected, it may cause rejection of the proposal. Do not lock or encrypt the uploaded file. Do not include or embed active graphics such as videos, moving pictures, or other similar media in the document.

The length of the Feasibility Documentation is not to exceed 5 pages and the length of the Technical Proposal is not to exceed 10 pages. A commercialization plan must also accompany the technical proposal and should be 8 slides. Any proposals submitted in a different format or exceed the page count limits will not be reviewed.

Number all pages of your proposal consecutively. Font size should not be smaller than 10- point on standard 8-1/2" x 11" paper with one-inch margins. The header on each page of the Technical Volume should contain your company name, topic number, and proposal number assigned by DSIP when the Cover Sheet was created. The header may be included in the one-inch margin.

Content of the Technical Volume: (Volume 2)

PART ONE: Feasibility and Technical Proposal (15 pages maximum)

Content of the Feasibility Documentation (Volume 2a)

The content of the Feasibility Documentation Proposers should substantiate that the scientific and technical merit and feasibility described in the Phase I section of the topic has been met and describes the potential commercial applications. Documentation should include all relevant information including, but not limited to: technical reports, test data, prototype designs/models, and performance goals/results. Work submitted within the feasibility documentation must have been substantially performed by the proposer and/or the Principal Investigator.

If you have references, include a reference list or works cited list as the last page of the feasibility documentation. This will count towards the total page limit.

Work submitted within the feasibility documentation must have been substantially performed by the proposer and/or the Principal Investigator (PI).

If technology in the feasibility documentation is subject to Intellectual Property (IP), the proposer must either own the IP, or must have obtained license rights to such technology prior to proposal submission, to enable it and its subcontractors to legally carry out the proposed work. Documentation of IP ownership or license rights shall be included in the Technical Volume of the proposal.

At a minimum, the technical proposal should address:

- What are you trying to do? Articulate your objectives without jargon.
- How is it done today, and what are the limits of current practice?
- What is new in your approach and why do you think it will be successful?
- Who cares? If you are successful, what difference will it make?
- What are the risks?
- How much will it cost?
- How long will it take?
- How do you measure success?
- What team will accomplish your mission?
- What existing / related SBIR, STTR, or other research proposals support this technology?
- What is the commercialization strategy for the proposed technology?

Content of the Technical Proposal (Volume 2b; part 1 continued)

The content of the Technical Volume should address three key areas: the technical approach, the team carrying out the work (and the accompanied resources), and the commercialization strategy. The commercialization plan should include:

- <u>Company information</u>: Focused objectives/core competencies; specialization area(s); products with significant sales; and history of previous Federal and non-Federal funding, regulatory experience, and subsequent commercialization successes.
- <u>Customer and Competition</u>: Clear description of key technology objectives, current competition, and advantages compared to competing products or services; description of hurdles to acceptance of the innovation.
- Market: Milestones, target dates, analyses of market size, and estimated market share after first year sales and after 5 years; explanation of plan to obtain market share.
- <u>Intellectual Property</u>: Patent status, technology lead, trade secrets or other demonstration of a plan to achieve sufficient protection to realize the commercialization stage and attain at least a temporal competitive advantage.
- Financing: Plans for securing necessary non-SBIR funding.
- <u>Assistance and mentoring</u>: Plans for securing needed technical or business assistance through mentoring, partnering, or through arrangements with government sponsored (e.g., State assistance programs, Federally-funded research laboratories, Manufacturing Extension Partnership centers), not-for-profits (e.g., Small Business Development Centers or Procurement Technical Assistance Centers), commercial accelerators, DOD Prime Contractors, or other assistance provider.

Proposers are free to structure each section as they like, so long as it provides sufficient detail for evaluators to understand the proposed work, who will carry it out, and how the business plans to commercialize results.

PART TWO: Commercialization Plan (8 slides/pages maximum, saved as a PDF and attached with the Technical Proposal as part of the Technical Volume).

The Army is equally interested in dual use commercialization of SBIR/STTR projects that result in products sold to the U.S. military, the private sector market, or both. The Army expects explicit discussion of key activities to achieve this result in the commercialization strategy part of the proposal.

The commercialization strategy should include the following elements:

- A summary of transition and commercialization activities, and the Technology Readiness Level (TRL) achieved. Discuss how the preliminary transition and commercialization path or paths may evolve during the Phase II project.
- Describe key proposed technical milestones during Phase II that will advance the technology towards product such as: prototype development, laboratory and systems testing, integration, testing in operational environment, and demonstrations.
- Description of Product(s) and/or System Application(s). Identify the commercial product(s) and/or DoD system(s), or system(s) under development, or potential new system(s). Identify the potential DoD end- users, Federal customers, and/or private sector customers who would likely use the technology.
- Business Model(s)/Procurement Mechanism(s). Discuss your current business model
 hypothesis for bringing the technology to market. Describe plans to license, partner, or
 self-produce your product. How do you plan to generate revenue? Understanding the
 Army's goal of creating and sustaining viable small businesses that support and generate
 advanced Army technologies, describe how you intend to develop your product and
 supply chains to enable this differentiation.
- Target Market. Describe the market and customer sets you propose to target, their size, their growth rate, and their key reasons they would consider procuring the technology.
- Describe competing technologies existent today on the market as well as those being developed in the lab.
- Funding Requirements. Describe your company's funding history. How much external financing have you raised? Describe your plans for future funding sources (internal, loan, angel, venture capital, etc.).
- Commercialization Risks. Describe the major technology, market and team risks associated with achieving successful transition of the Army funded technology.
- Expertise/Qualifications of Team/Company Readiness. Describe the expertise and qualifications of your management, marketing/business development and technical team that will support the transition of the technology from the prototype to the commercial market and into government operational environments. Has this team previously taken similar products/services to market? If the present team does not have this needed expertise, how do you intend to obtain it? What is the financial history and health of your company (e.g., availability of cash, profitability, revenue growth, etc.)?
- Anticipated Commercialization Results. Include a schedule showing the anticipated
 quantitative commercialization results from the Phase II project at one year after the start
 of Phase II, at the completion of Phase II, and after the completion of the Sequential
 Phase II (i.e., amount of additional investment, sales revenue, etc.). After a Phase II
 award, the company is required to report actual sales and investment data in its Company
 Commercialization Report at least annually.

These instructions supersede those stated in section 5.3.c of the DoD Program BAA.

Cost Volume (Volume 3)

Unless otherwise noted in the topic description, the Army will accept Direct to Phase II proposals

sector. There are many opportunities for government programs and private firms to use this technology in their planning.

KEYWORDS: information model; mapping; computation; BIM; point cloud; edge computing

REFERENCES:

- 1. https://www.united-bim.com/ultimate-guide-of-scan-to-bim/
- 2. https://www.truepointscanning.com/using-3d-laser-scanning-for-facility-design-modifications
- 3. Wang, et al., Vision-assisted BIM reconstruction from 3D LiDAR point clouds for MEP scenes, Automation in Construction, October 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103997
- 4. Wallbaum, et al., Towards Real-Time Scan-Versus-BIM: Methods, Applications, and Challenges, European Conference on Computing in Construction, July 2021. http://dx.doi.org/10.35490/EC3.2021.17

A234-021 Machine Translation for Indo-Pacific Low Resource Languages

OUSD (R&E) CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY AREA(S): Trusted AI and Autonomy; Advanced Computing and Software

OBJECTIVE: US Army Pacific executes Operation Pathways within the INDOPACOM AOR and the multitude of languages, populations, and cultures within the INDOPACOM AOR. In order to provide effects that instantiate and reinforce the INDOPACOM Desired Perceptions (available at the SECRET//NOFORN Level), the Theater Army requires a range of Natural Language Processing (NLP) capabilities from Machine Translation to stance detection and summarization technologies to both produce effects and assess the information environment for the range of Low Resource Languages resident within this AOR.

DESCRIPTION: Recent relevant research on this topic focuses on languages within Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic demographic populations. But due to the emergence of Large Language Models such as GPT-3 and 4 and BLOOM, generational improvements in Low Resource Language NLP capabilities are technically viable. USARPAC seeks to leverage those advances for languages resident in this AOR.

Most commercial access translation technologies through API and do not perform bespoke model training. Further, most commercial services perform higher level analysis (stance detection) on already translated media where best practices would necessitate development in the source language.

Computing resources are inexpensive and scalable and available training data is likely acquirable through crowd-sourced manual labeling. Some zero-shot approaches may be effective for lower-fidelity requirements. Further, this technology leverages LLMs available through open-source repositories and emerging techniques such as Dictionary-based Phrase-level Prompting of Large Language Models for Machine Translation.

By translating and assessing media in source languages, these technologies would enable reach into previously inaccessible populations and enable at-scale assessment tools rather than endure knowledge losses due to default to-English approaches.

PHASE I: A successful Phase I will have a justifiable and solidified proof of concept for low resource language.

PHASE II: Expected deliverables of this phase include a Deployed Machine Translation model. Testing and Evaluation would be executed in accordance with standard-practice metrics based on widely accepted and emerging evaluation benchmarks (FLORES) via GEMBA, Word Error Rate, Bilingual Evaluation Understudy, and other academic-grade metrics.

PHASE III DUAL USE APPLICATIONS: Initial model development will transition to continuous training and development for use-cases specific to the transition partner, US Army Pacific. There is high dual-use potential for machine translation. The technology can be used by many industries as globalization occurs and multi-lingual communications become a priority.

KEYWORDS: large language model; natual language processing; machine translation; Low resource languages

REFERENCES:

Costa-jussà, Marta R., et al. "No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation." ArXivpreprint arXiv:2207.04672 (2022).

Hendy, Amr, et al. "How good are gpt models at machine translation? a comprehensive evaluation." arXivpreprint arXiv:2302.09210 (2023).

Ghazvininejad, Marjan, Hila Gonen, and Luke Zettlemoyer. "Dictionary-based Phrase-level Prompting of Large Language Models for Machine Translation." arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.07856 (2023).